



**Community Alliance
for the
Homeless, Inc.**
www.cafth.org

2016 CONTINUUM OF CARE RENEWAL PROJECT REVIEW

Applicant	
Project	
Date of Review	Reviewer Initials

Review Component	Points Possible	Points Received
1. ORGANIZATION CAPACITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the organization provide a complete profile, list of all executive and management staff with responsibilities, and an organizational chart? • Does the organization demonstrate the capacity to effectively administer Federal, state, city and private funds if awarded? • Does the organization have sufficient experience and capacity to be successful in the proposed project? • Timeliness: APR, Quarterly Drawdowns, • Unresolved HUD/OIG monitoring findings? • Recaptured funds? 	5	
2. HUD PRIORITY ALIGNMENT & PROJECT QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the project participate in CoC Coordinated Entry? • Does the program serve one of the 4 priority populations? • Does the organization quickly move participants into permanent housing in accordance with the Housing First model? • Based on the answers, does the project ensure that participants are not screened out? • Do the housing Characteristics appear to match the population served? • Does any percentage of participants enter the program from transitional housing? • Does the project dedicate all beds/units to CH? 	20	
3. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the organization offer a clear and concise description of the support services provided by the project? Are the needs and target population clearly identified? Are all services available to participants clear, including who will provide them and how often they are provided? Are the services client centered? • Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or partner agency? • Has the staff person providing the technical assistance completed SOAR training in the past 24 months? 	5	
4. PERFORMANCE METRICS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average Program Stay (10% - 6 Points) • Returns to Homelessness (25% - 15 Points) • Hard to Serve (25% - 15 Points) • Income Growth (10% - 6 Points) • Exits to Permanent Housing/Housing Stability (10% - 6 Points) • Program Model Issues (20% - 12 Points) 	60	

Review Component	Points Possible	Points Received
5. COMMUNITY LEVERAGE/BUDGETS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the application identify the community partnerships that are currently in place? • Does the applicant clearly describe the organization’s history and experience working in partnership with other community providers and collaborators? • Does the application describe the organization’s process for referring participants to identified community resources and tracking results of referrals? 	10	
TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS APPLICANT	100	TOTAL

Reviewer Comments: _____
