



**Community Alliance
for the
Homeless, Inc.**

www.cafh.org

2017 CONTINUUM OF CARE RENEWAL PROJECT REVIEW

Applicant	
Project	
Date of Review	Reviewer Initials

Review Component	Points Possible	Points Received
1. ORGANIZATION CAPACITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the organization provide a complete profile, list of all executive and management staff with responsibilities, and an organizational chart? Does the organization demonstrate the capacity to effectively administer Federal, state, city and private funds if awarded? Does the organization have sufficient experience and capacity to be successful in the proposed project? Did the organization's policies and procedures reflect all of HUD's requirements? Are there any findings on the HMIS chart review tool? Are there any findings on the site review tool? Are there any findings on the documentation review tool? 	5	
2. HUD PRIORITY ALIGNMENT & PROJECT QUALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the organization quickly move participants into permanent housing in accordance with the Housing First model 	20	
3. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the organization offer a clear and concise description of the support services provided by the project? Are the needs and target population clearly identified? Are all services available to participants clear, including who will provide them and how often they are provided? Does the program offer transportation to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or partner agency? Has the staff person providing the technical assistance completed SOAR training in the past 24 months? 	5	
4. PERFORMANCE SCORECARD <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Average Program Stay (10% - 6 Points) Returns to Homelessness (25% - 15 Points) Hard to Serve (25% - 15 Points) Income Growth (10% - 6 Points) Exits to Permanent Housing/Housing Stability (10% - 6 Points) Program Model Issues (20% - 12 Points) 	60	

Review Component	Points Possible	Points Received
5. COMMUNITY LEVERAGE/BUDGETS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the application show a match for eligible program costs only that is equal to 25% of the total grant request for all eligible costs with the exception of leasing costs? • Does the budget indicate that the project will utilize resources in the most cost-efficient manner? • Does the applicant participate in the Coordinated Entry System? 	10	
TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS APPLICANT	100	TOTAL

Reviewer Comments: _____
